

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,800	04/10/2001	Bernadette Indence	1148	1902	
75	90 08/29/2002				
Daniel R. McGlynn Symbol Technologies, Inc. One Symbol Plaza, MS-A-6			EXAMINER		
			KRAMER, JAMES A		
Holtsville, NY	11742		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of I	Paper No. 7			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s e of Informal Patent Application (PTO- :				
Attachmen							
ı <u>—</u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •					
1) \square The translation of the foreign language pr			-ppiiodiioii).			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			application)			
* c	application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
ا	, ,	ts have been received					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
		n priority under 35 LLS	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
1	The specification is objected to by the Examine		hy tha Evaminar				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
,	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application						
	on of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
3)	, —		matters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
2a)□	,	—· nis action is non-final.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply							
		James A. Kramer	3627				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
5		09/829,800	INDENCE, BERNAI	DETTE			
	N Company of the Comp						

Application/Control Number: 09/829,800

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the symbol product data" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the message" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the e-mail" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner believes that applicant is referring to "the e-mail" referenced in claim 3. However, claim 4 depends on claim 1 and thus does not include the limitations of claim 3. Examiner recommends making claim 4 dependent on claim 3.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the message" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the destination station" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "processing the data from the bar code symbol together with user options, and the user identification into a scheduling task" in lines 4-5. The claim later recites the limitation "processing the symbol product data, scheduling task and the user identification information" in lines 8-9. The applicant has already processed the user identification information into the scheduling task, therefore processing the scheduling task with the user identification again renders the claim confusing.

For the purpose of express prosecution the claims, as best interpreted by the office will be examined on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,800

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, as best interpreted by the examiner are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green et al. Green et al. teaches a remote ordering system with a bar code reader (column 3; lines 5-20) for reading a bar code symbol. The bar code symbol is processed with user options and user information (column 5; lines 28-39) over a wireless network (column 4; lines 64-66) to find a potential vendor (column 5; lines 9-14) from a vendor database and to send a message back to the user with product information from the potential vendors (column 8; lines 39-41) allowing the user the option to purchase the products (column 12; lines 57-60). Green et al. teaches running this system on the Internet (column 5; lines 19-20). Finally, Green et al. teaches a display/prcessor unit (DPU) with all the capabilities and features of a personal digital assistant (PDA) (column 4; lines 40-60) therefore it is reasonable to assume that the DPU of Green et al. is a PDA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 7, and 8, as best interpreted by the examiner are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. in view of *How the Internet Works*.

The system of Green et al. (as described in detail above) does not specifically teach sending messages from the vendor database to the DPU either via html with query strings or via email.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,800

Art Unit: 3627

The book *How the Internet Works* teaches standard methods of utilizing the Internet to send and receives information. In particular the use of e-mail is taught in chapter 17: How Email Works and the use of HTML and query strings is taught in Part 5: How the World Wide Web Works. Since the system of Green et al. teaches utilizing the Internet It would have been obvious to anyone skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply either e-mail or HTML with query strings to send and receive messages, as taught in *How the Internet Works* between the vendor database and the DPU of Green et al. Anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the system of Green et al. with the teachings of *How the Internet Works* in order to provide a reliable system that would be compatible the any user that wanted to purchase or use the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9123 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

James A Kramer Patent Examiner

> Renneth R. Rice Primary Examiner